arXiv:1110.1550v1 [math.RT] 7 Oct 2011

Oscillator algebras with semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits

Karl-Hermann Neeb, Christoph Zellner *

August 29, 2011

Abstract

A unitary representation of a, possibly infinite dimensional, Lie group G is called semi-bounded if the corresponding operators $i\mathtt{d}\pi(x)$ from the derived representations are uniformly bounded from above on some non-empty open subset of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Not every Lie group has non-trivial semibounded unitary representations, so that it becomes an important issue to decide when this is the case. In the present paper we describe a complete solution of this problem for the class of generalized oscillator groups, which are semidirect products of Heisenberg groups with a one-parameter group γ. For these groups it turns out that the existence of non-trivial semibounded representations is equivalent to the existence of so-called semi-equicontinuous non-trivial coadjoint orbits, a purely geometric condition on the coadjoint action. This in turn can be expressed by a positivity condition on the Hamiltonian function corresponding to the infinitesimal generator D of γ. A central point of our investigations is that we make no assumption on the structure of the spectrum of D. In particular, D can be any skew-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space.

MSC2000: 22E45, 22E65.

Contents

1 Triviality of semi-equicontinuous orbits 4

2 Oscillator algebras with semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits 6

3 The connection with double extensions 11

4 Complex structures 16

5 Unitary representations 21
- 5.1 The Fock–Schrödinger representation 21
- 5.2 Application to generalized oscillator groups 23

---

*Supported by DFG-grant NE 413/7-1, Schwerpunktprogramm “Darstellungstheorie”.

Introduction

This paper is part of a project concerned with a systematic approach to unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups in terms of conditions on spectra in the derived representations. For the derived representation to carry significant information, we first have to impose a suitable smoothness condition. A unitary representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *smooth* if the subspace $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ of smooth vectors is dense. This is automatic for continuous representations of finite-dimensional groups. For any smooth unitary representation, the *derived representation*

$$\mathtt{d}\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{L}(G) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty), \quad \mathtt{d}\pi(x)v := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi(\exp tx)v$$

carries significant information in the sense that the closure of the operator $\mathtt{d}\pi(x)$ coincides with the infinitesimal generator of the unitary one-parameter group $\pi(\exp tx)$. We call $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ *semibounded* if the function

$$s_\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad s_\pi(x) := \sup\big(\mathrm{Spec}(i\mathtt{d}\pi(x))\big)$$

is bounded on a neighborhood of some point in $\mathfrak{g}$. All finite dimensional continuous unitary representations are bounded and most of the unitary representations appearing in physics are semibounded ([BSZ92], [Bo96], [Ne10a], [Se67], [SeG81], [FH05], [GJ]). Therefore it is an important structural problem in infinite dimensional Lie theory to understand when a given Lie group G has non-trivial semibounded unitary representations. In the present paper we obtain a complete answer to this problem for the class of *oscillator groups.* Before we take a closer look at these groups, we describe our approach to this problem.

A central idea is that, for a smooth unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$, the function s_π can be written as

$$s_\pi(x) = \sup\langle I_\pi, -x\rangle = -\inf\langle I_\pi, x\rangle,$$

where $I_\pi \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ ($\mathfrak{g}'$ denotes the topological dual of $\mathfrak{g}$), is a weak-$*$-closed convex subset, called the *momentum set of* π. It is defined as the weak-$*$-closed convex hull of the image of the momentum map on the projective space of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$:

$$\Phi_\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^\infty) \to \mathfrak{g}' \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_\pi([v])(x) = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\langle \mathtt{d}\pi(x).v, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle} \quad \text{for } [v] = \mathbb{C}v.$$

We call a subset $E \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ *semi-equicontinuous* if its *support functional* $s_E(x) := \sup\langle E, -x\rangle$ is bounded on the neighborhood of some point in $\mathfrak{g}$. In this sense, a smooth unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is semibounded if and only if I_π is semi-equicontinuous. Since the ideal $I_\pi^\perp \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ is the kernel of the derived representation, we are interested in those groups for which the semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \mathrm{Ad}^*(G)\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ separate the points of $\mathfrak{g}$. This is trivially the case if G is connected and abelian, which implies that all coadjoint orbits are *trivial*, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \{\lambda\}$. Since the semibounded representations of abelian

Lie groups are well-understood in terms of a suitable spectral theorem ([Ne09, Thm. 4.1]), it is natural to ask for the "simplest" class of non-abelian groups with non-trivial semibounded representations.

As a consequence of the elementary considerations in Section 1, we show in Theorem 1.5 that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is either nilpotent or 2-step solvable, then all semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits in $\mathfrak{g}'$ are trivial. On the group level, this implies that all semibounded unitary representations factor through abelian quotient groups. Therefore we focus on 3-step solvable Lie algebras. Among these algebras, the simplest and the most prominent class are the oscillator algebras. They are constructed as follows. Let (V, ω) be a locally convex symplectic space and

$$\mathrm{Heis}(V, \omega) := \mathbb{R} \oplus_\omega V$$

be the corresponding Heisenberg group with the multiplication

$$(z, v)(z', v') = (z + z' + \tfrac{1}{2}\omega(v, v'), v + v').$$

Further, let $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$ be a representation defining a smooth action of $\mathbb{R}$ on V and $D := \gamma'(0)$ be its infinitesimal generator. Then

$$G := G(V, \omega, \gamma) := \mathrm{Heis}(V, \omega) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{R}$$

is a Lie group, called the corresponding (generalized) *oscillator group*. Its multiplication is given by

$$(z, v, t)(z', v', t') = \Big(z + z' + \frac{1}{2}\omega(v, \gamma(t)v'), v + \gamma(t)v', t + t'\Big)$$

and its Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D) := \mathfrak{heis}(V, \omega) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$$

with the bracket

$$[(z, v, t), (z', v', t')] = (\omega(v, v'), tDv' - t'Dv, 0).$$

The one-parameter group γ represents on V the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the function $H_D(v) := \frac{1}{2}\omega(Dv, v)$.

The first main result of the present paper is a characterization of those oscillator algebras with non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits. The answer is surprisingly simple, namely that such orbits exist if and only if $\mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ is isomorphic to a double extension of a euclidean locally convex space (V, κ), defined by a skew-symmetric derivation D on V (Theorem 3.2). We also give a description of the set $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{seq}}$ of semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits (Proposition 3.4). In particular, we show that the existence of non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits implies that H_D is either positive or negative.

In Sections 4 and 5 we get full circle by showing that, up to passing to a natural completion, resp., a naturality condition on the topology on V in terms of κ and D, the existence of semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits is for oscillator groups equivalent to the existence of non-trivial semibounded unitary representations. The investigation of the structure of the set of semibounded unitary representations of a given oscillator group is part of the second author's Ph.D. project (cf. [Ze11]).

The investigations in the present paper are prototypical for many other situations occurring in infinite dimensional Lie theory in relation to mathematical physics. There one often encounters groups of the from $G = \widehat{H} \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{R}$, where $\widehat{H}$ is a central extension of a Lie group H. For such groups the question arises if there are unitary representations $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ satisfying the "positive energy" condition, also called the "spectral condition", that $-i\mathtt{d}\pi(0,1)$ is bounded from below ([Bo96]). Generalized oscillator groups are the simplest groups of this type, corresponding to the situation where $H = (V, +)$ is the additive group of a locally convex space. In this case the positive energy condition is equivalent to semiboundedness (cf. Lemma 5.7). The necessary conditions derived in the present paper apply in particular to γ-invariant abelian subgroups of H so that they have an immediate impact on the general case. In view of the absence of any structure of the group $H = (V, +)$, they provide the context with the maximal freedom for the one-parameter groups γ so that the influence of the spectral properties of γ on the representation theory of G can be studied most directly.

1 Triviality of semi-equicontinuous orbits

In this section we introduce the main geometric concept of this paper: semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits. The main result of this short section is Theorem 1.5, asserting that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent or 2-step solvable, then all its semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits are trivial. Throughout $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the Lie algebra of a connected locally convex Lie group G to ensure the existence of a coadjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}^*(g)\alpha := \alpha \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}$.

Definition 1.1 We call a subset $E \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ *semi-equicontinuous* if its *support functional* $s_E(x) := \sup\langle E, -x\rangle$ is bounded on the neighborhood of some point in $\mathfrak{g}$. This implies in particular, that the associated convex cone

$$B(E) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}\colon \inf\langle E, x\rangle > -\infty\} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}\colon s_E(x) < \infty\}$$

has interior points.

Remark 1.2 (a) If E is semi-equicontinuous, then $B(E)$ has interior points and E contains no affine line $\alpha_0 + \mathbb{R}\beta$, because this would lead to

$$B(E) \subseteq B(\alpha_0 + \mathbb{R}\beta) \subseteq \ker \beta.$$

(b) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite dimensional, then a subset $E \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ is semi-equicontinuous if and only if its convex hull contains no affine lines (cf. [Ne00, Prop. V.1.15]).

(c) If $W \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an open convex cone, then its dual cone

$$W^\star := \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}'\colon \alpha(W) \subseteq [0, \infty[\}$$

is semi-equicontinuous because $s_{W^\star}(W) = \{0\}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ denotes the set of all semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits, then its annihilator $\mathfrak{n} := (\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq})^\perp$ is a closed $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$, and each semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit can be identified with a coadjoint orbit of the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$.

Lemma 1.3 *Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ is semi-equicontinuous. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{ad}\, x)^2 = 0$, then $[x, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda^\perp$. In particular, we have:*

(a) *Every abelian ideal $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda^\perp$.*

(b) *If $\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq}$ separates the points of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq})^\perp = \{0\}$, then every abelian ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$ is central.*

Proof. From $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \supseteq \lambda \circ e^{\mathbb{R}\,\mathrm{ad}\, x} = \lambda + \mathbb{R}(\lambda \circ \mathrm{ad}\, x)$ and the semi-equicontinuity of $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$, we derive that $\lambda \circ \mathrm{ad}\, x = 0$. Applying the same argument to any other $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ implies that $[x, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda^\perp$.

(a) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian ideal, then $(\mathrm{ad}\, x)^2 = 0$ holds for every $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, so that (a) follows from the preceding argument.

(b) is an immediate consequence of (a). ■

For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we define the *derived series*

$$D^0(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}, \quad D^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}) := [D^n(\mathfrak{g}), D^n(\mathfrak{g})] \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and the *descending central series*

$$C^1(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}, \quad C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}) := [\mathfrak{g}, C^n(\mathfrak{g})] \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1.4 *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra in which all abelian ideals are central, then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *Each nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{n} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $C^2(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $C^3(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$.*

(b) *If $\mathfrak{r} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ is a solvable ideal and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is maximal with $D^n(\mathfrak{r}) \neq \{0\}$, then $D^n(\mathfrak{r})$ is central in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

(c) *$\mathfrak{z}(\mathrm{ad}\, \mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$.*

(d) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian.*

Proof. (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$ and minimal with this property. We may w.l.o.g. assume that $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-abelian, i.e., $n \geq 2$. If $n > 2$, then the relation

$$[C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{n}), C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{n})] \subseteq C^{2n-2}(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$$

leads to $C^{2n-2}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$, so that $C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{n})$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, hence central. This contradicts $C^n(\mathfrak{n}) \neq \{0\}$. Therefore $n \leq 2$, and this means that $C^3(\mathfrak{n}) =$

$\{0\}$. This in turn implies that $[C^2(\mathfrak{n}), C^2(\mathfrak{n})] \subseteq C^4(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$, so that $C^2(\mathfrak{n})$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, hence central.

(b) This is an immediate consequence of the fact that $D^n(\mathfrak{r})$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.

(c) Suppose that $\operatorname{ad} x \in \mathfrak{z}(\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathfrak{a} := \operatorname{ad}^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{ad} x) = \mathbb{R}x + \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, hence central. We conclude that $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$, and finally that $\operatorname{ad} x = 0$.

(d) From (a) we derive that $C^2(\mathfrak{g}) = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is central in $\mathfrak{g}$, and thus $\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. In view of (c), this leads to $\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian. ■

Theorem 1.5 *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is either nilpotent or two step solvable, i.e., $D^2(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq} \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$, i.e., all semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits are trivial, i.e., consist of one point.*

Proof. Passing to the quotient $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{n} := (\mathfrak{g}'_{\rm seq})^\perp$, we obtain a Lie algebra with the same semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits as $\mathfrak{g}$, and for which $\mathfrak{q}'_{\rm seq}$ separates the points. Hence every abelian ideal in $\mathfrak{q}$ is central by Lemma 1.3.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is two step solvable, then the same holds for $\mathfrak{q}$, and Lemma 1.4 implies that the abelian ideal $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}] \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{q}$ is central, i.e., $C^3(\mathfrak{q}) = \{0\}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{q}$ is nilpotent. Finally, Lemma 1.4(d) implies that $\mathfrak{q}$ is abelian, i.e., that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$. This means that every semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial. ■

2 Oscillator algebras with semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits

The two main results of this section are the characterization of those oscillator algebras $\mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ with non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits (Theorem 2.8) and the description of the semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits for these algebras (Proposition 2.10).

Definition 2.1 A pair (V, Q), where V is a locally convex space and Q is a continuous symmetric positive definite bilinear form on V is called a *locally convex euclidean space*. For $\alpha \in V'$ we then write

$$\|\alpha\|_Q := \sup\{\alpha(v) \colon Q(v, v) \leq 1\} \in [0, \infty]$$

for the norm of α with respect to Q. We write V_Q for the completion of V with respect to Q and observe that the condition $\|\alpha\|_Q < \infty$ is equivalent to the existence of a continuous extension of α to V_Q.

Lemma 2.2 *Let (V, Q) be a locally convex euclidean space. Then the quadratic polynomial*

$$f_\alpha \colon V \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_\alpha(v) = \alpha(v) + Q(v, v)$$

is bounded from below if and only if Q is positive semidefinite and α is Q-continuous, i.e., $\|\alpha\|_Q < \infty$. In this case

$$\inf f_\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}\|\alpha\|_Q^2.$$

In particular, for a subset $A \subseteq V'$, the set $\{f_\alpha \colon \alpha \in A\}$ is uniformly bounded from below on V if and only if

$$\sup\{\|\alpha\|_Q \colon \alpha \in A\} < \infty.$$

Proof. If $Q(v,v) < 0$, then f_α is not bounded from below on the line $\mathbb{R}v$. Therefore Q is positive semidefinite if f_α is bounded from below. It is also necessary that α vanishes on each Q-isotropic vector. If α is unbounded on the Q-unit ball $B_Q := \{v \in V \colon Q(v,v) < 1\}$, then the convexity of $B_Q = -B_Q$ implies that $f_\alpha(B_Q) = \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\|\alpha\|_Q < \infty$ is necessary for f_α to be bounded from below.

Suppose that $\inf f_\alpha > -\infty$. Then α is represented by an element $v_\alpha \in V_Q$, and

$$\overline{f}_\alpha(v) := \alpha(v) + Q(v,v) = Q(v_\alpha, v) + Q(v,v)$$

defines a polynomial map $V_Q \to \mathbb{R}$. For $v_0 \in V_Q$ we have

$$\mathtt{d}\overline{f}_\alpha(v_0) = \alpha + 2Q(v_0,\cdot) = Q(v_\alpha + 2v_0, \cdot),$$

which vanishes only for $v_0 = -\frac{1}{2}v_\alpha$. In this point $\overline{f}_\alpha$ takes its minimal value

$$\inf \overline{f}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(v_\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}Q(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4}Q(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4}\|\alpha\|_Q^2.$$

Since V is dense in V_Q, we finally derive $\inf f_\alpha = \inf \overline{f}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}\|\alpha\|_Q^2$. ■

Remark 2.3 For a quadratic functional of the form $f(v) = \alpha(v) + tQ(v,v)$ for which Q is positive definite and $t > 0$, we obtain with Lemma 2.2

$$\inf f = t \cdot \inf(t^{-1}\alpha + Q) = -\frac{t}{4}\|t^{-1}\alpha\|_Q^2 = -\frac{1}{4t}\|\alpha\|_Q^2.$$

Remark 2.4 Note that the map

$$\varphi \colon \mathfrak{heis}(V,\omega) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{heis}(V,\omega) \rtimes_{-D} \mathbb{R}, \quad (z,v,t) := (z,v,-t)$$

defines an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Therefore we can always pass from D to $-D$ if this is convenient. An analogous statement holds for the corresponding Lie groups.

We want to describe the subset $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{seq}}$ of semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits and in particular find necessary and sufficient conditions on (V,ω,D) for the existence of *non-trivial* semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits.

Remark 2.5 For $\lambda = (z^*, \alpha, t^*)$ and $v \in V$ we derive from $(\mathrm{ad}\, v)^3 = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}^*(0,v,0)\lambda &= \lambda - \lambda \circ \mathrm{ad}\, v + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \circ (\mathrm{ad}\, v)^2 \\ &= \lambda + (0, -z^* i_v\omega, \alpha(Dv)) + \frac{1}{2}(0,0,z^*\omega(Dv,v)) \\ &= \Big(z^*, \alpha - z^* i_v\omega, t^* + \alpha(Dv) + \frac{z^*}{2}\omega(Dv,v)\Big), \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(\mathrm{Ad}^*(0,v,0)\lambda)(z,x,t) = z^*z + \alpha(x) - z^*\omega(v,x) + tt^* + t\alpha(Dv) + \frac{tz^*}{2}\omega(Dv,v). \quad (2)$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Ad}^*(V)\lambda = \{\lambda\}$ is equivalent to $z^* = 0$ and $D^*\alpha = 0$, which is equivalent to the triviality of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$, resp., to $\lambda \in [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]^\perp$.

Assume that $Q(v,w) := \omega(Dv,w)$ is positive semidefinite and that $tz^* > 0$, so that the quadratic term in (2) is positive definite on V. From Remark 2.3 we then derive that

$$\inf\langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(0,V,0)\lambda, (z,x,t)\rangle = z^*z + \alpha(x) + tt^* - \frac{1}{2tz^*}\|tD^*\alpha + z^* i_x\omega\|_Q^2. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.6 *Suppose that* $\lambda = (z^*, \alpha, t^*) \in \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{seq}}$ *and that there exists an element* $(z,x,t) \in B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$ *with* $z^*t > 0$. *Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *The symmetric bilinear form* $Q\colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}, Q(v,w) := \omega(Dv,w)$ *is positive definite.*

(ii) $D^*\alpha$ *and all linear forms* $i_x\omega$, $x \in V$, *are* Q*-continuous with* $x \mapsto \|i_x\omega\|_Q$ *bounded on a* 0*-neighborhood in* V.

Proof. (i) In view of (2), the quadratic function

$$f_x(v) := (\mathrm{Ad}^*(v)\lambda)(z,x,t) = z^*z + \alpha(x) - z^*\omega(v,x) + tt^* + t\alpha(Dv) + \frac{tz^*}{2}\omega(Dv,v)$$

on V is bounded from below. Its restriction to $\mathbb{R}v$ is bounded from below if and only if either $\omega(Dv,v) > 0$ or

$$\omega(Dv,v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t\alpha(Dv) = -z^*\omega(x,v). \quad (4)$$

This shows that Q is positive semidefinite.

To see that Q is actually positive definite, suppose that $v \in V$ satisfies $Q(v,v) = 0$. Then the positive semidefiniteness of Q implies $\{0\} = Q(v,V) = \omega(Dv,V)$, which in turn leads to $Dv = 0$ because ω is non-degenerate. By (4) we now obtain that $\omega(x,v) = 0$. Since $(z,x,t) \in B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{seq}}$, there exists an open 0-neighborhood $U \subseteq V$ such that

$$\inf_{x' \in x+U} \inf\langle \mathcal{O}_\lambda, (z,x',t)\rangle > -\infty.$$

In particular, $(z, x', t) \in B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$, so that the preceding argument leads to $\omega(U, v) = \{0\}$, and hence to $v = 0$. This proves that Q is positive definite.

(ii) Let U be as in (i) above. From Lemma 2.2 and the uniform semiboundedness of the quadratic functions $f_{x'}$, $x' \in x + U$, from below, we derive that the set of linear functionals

$$z^* i_{x'}\omega + tD^*\alpha, \quad x' \in x + U,$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_Q$. As $z^* \neq 0$, the set $i_y\omega$, $y \in U$, is uniformly Q-bounded. This implies in particular that all functionals $i_v\omega$, $v \in V$, are Q-bounded, and hence that $D^*\alpha$ is also Q-bounded. ■

Remark 2.7 If $\lambda = (z^*, \alpha, t^*) \in \mathfrak{g}'$ vanishes on the central element $(1, 0, 0)$, i.e., $z^* = 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ vanishes on $(1, 0, 0)$, hence can be considered as a coadjoint orbit of the 2-step solvable quotient Lie algebra $V \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$. Now Theorem 1.5 implies that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is semi-equicontinuous if and only if it is trivial. We conclude that $z^* \neq 0$ whenever $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is nontrivial and semi-equicontinuous.

Theorem 2.8 (Characterization Theorem) *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ has non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits if and only if, possibly after replacing D by $-D$, the following conditions are satisfied:*

(C1) *$Q(x, y) := \omega(Dx, y)$ is positive definite.*

(C2) *All functionals $i_x\omega$, $x \in V$, are Q-continuous.*

(C3) *If V_Q denotes the Hilbert completion of V with respect to Q, then the map $\eta\colon V \to V_Q$, defined by*

$$Q(\eta(x), y) = (i_x\omega)(y) = \omega(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in V,$$

is continuous.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda = (z^*, \alpha, t^*) \in \mathfrak{g}'$ has a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous orbit. In view of Remark 2.7, we have $z^* \neq 0$.

Since the open cone $B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$ is non-empty, it either contains an element (z, x, t) with $t > 0$ or $t < 0$. In the case $z^*t < 0$ we replace D by $-D$ (cf. Remark 2.4). Now (C1)-(C3) are consequences of Lemma 2.6.

Suppose, conversely, that (C1)-(C3) are satisfied. We claim that $\lambda := (1, 0, 0)$ has a semi-equicontinuous orbit. Since λ is fixed by the subgroup $\{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \mathrm{Ad}^*(0, V, 0)\lambda$. Now (3) implies that, for $t > 0$,

$$\inf \mathcal{O}_\lambda(z, x, t) = \inf\langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(0, V, 0)\lambda, (z, x, t)\rangle = z - \frac{1}{2t}\|i_x\omega\|_Q^2.$$

This is a continuous function on the open half space $\mathfrak{heis}(V, \omega) \times]0, \infty[$, so that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is semi-equicontinuous. ■

Remark 2.9 In Theorem 2.8, the positive definite form Q is γ-invariant. As a consequence, the embedding $\eta\colon V \to V_Q$ is γ-equivariant.

We now take a closer look at the set of semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits for generalized oscillator algebras satisfying the conditions (C1)-(C3) in Theorem 2.8. We shall see in Proposition 3.4 below how these conditions simplify from the perspective of double extensions.

Proposition 2.10 *If* (C1)-(C3) *in* Theorem 2.8 *are satisfied, then the coadjoint orbit of* $\lambda = (z^*, \alpha, t^*)$ *is non-trivial and semi-equicontinuous if and only if*

(SE1) $z^* \neq 0$.

(SE2) $D^*\alpha$ *is* Q*-continuous.*

(SE3) $\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\alpha \subseteq V'$ *is semi-equicontinuous.*

In this case we have

$$B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0 = \mathbb{R} \times B(\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\alpha)^0 \times]0, \infty[z^*. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is non-trivial and semi-equicontinuous. Then Remark 2.7 implies that $z^* \neq 0$. With (2) and Lemma 2.2 we see that $z^*t \geq 0$ is a necessary condition for $\mathrm{Ad}^*(0, V, 0)(z, x, t)$ to be bounded from below. This implies the existence of an element $(z, x, t) \in B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$ with $z^*t > 0$. Now Lemma 2.6(ii) implies that $D^*\alpha$ is Q-continuous. In view of (C2) and (C3), (3) now leads to

$$B(\mathrm{Ad}^*(0, V, 0)\lambda)^0 = \mathfrak{heis}(V, \omega) \times]0, \infty[z^*.$$

Next we note that

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda(z, x, t) = \langle \mathrm{Ad}^*(0, V, 0)\lambda, (z, \gamma(\mathbb{R})x, t)\rangle.$$

Since $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ preserves ω and D, it is Q-isometric. Therefore

$$\|i_{\gamma(s)x}\omega\|_Q = \|i_x\omega\|_Q \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

and this implies that $\|z^* i_{\gamma(s)x}\omega + tD^*\alpha\|_Q^2$ is uniformly bounded in $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and locally uniformly in x. Hence the rightmost term in (3) is uniformly bounded if x runs through $\gamma(\mathbb{R})U_x$ for some neighborhood U_x of x. Therefore $(z, x, t) \in B(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^0$ implies that

$$y \mapsto \inf \alpha(\gamma(\mathbb{R})y)$$

is bounded on some neighborhood of x, which means that $\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\alpha$ is semi-equicontinuous. This proves the necessity of (SE1)-(SE3).

Suppose, conversely, that (SE1)-(SE3) are satisfied. Then (SE2) and (SE3) imply that in (3) the right hand side is uniformly bounded below on a $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$-invariant neighborhood of (z, x, t), and this means that $\inf \mathcal{O}_\lambda(z, x, t)$ is bounded from below on a neighborhood of (z, x, t), which shows that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is semi-equicontinuous. ■

3 The connection with double extensions

Let (V, κ) be a locally convex euclidean space and $D\colon V \to V$ be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of V, $D \neq 0$. Then $\omega_D(x, y) := \kappa(Dx, y)$ defines a skew-symmetric bilinear form on V, so that we obtain a Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D) := (\mathbb{R} \oplus_{\omega_D} V) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$$

with the bracket

$$[(z, v, t), (z', v', t')] = (\omega_D(v, v'), tDv' - t'Dv, 0) = (\kappa(Dv, v'), tDv' - t'Dv, 0).$$

This Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$ carries the continuous Lorentzian form

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}((z, x, t), (z', x', t')) := zt' + z't + \kappa(x, x'),$$

which is easily seen to be invariant under the adjoint action of the Lie algebra on itself, hence also under the adjoint action of any corresponding connected Lie group.

Remark 3.1 If $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$ is a double extension as above, then a slight modification of the proof of [Ne06, Thm. VI.2.7] implies that the existence of a Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to the integrability of D to a smooth one-parameter group γ_D on V.

From now on we assume that D integrates to a smooth orthogonal one-parameter group γ on V. Since $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a continuous invariant Lorentzian form, it follows that

$$W := \{(z, x, t)\colon z > 0, \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}((z, x, t), (z, x, t)) < 0\}$$

is an open invariant convex cone in $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$, so that its dual cone $W^\star$ is a semi-equicontinuous subset which contains for $V \neq \{0\}$ non-trivial coadjoint orbits, such as the orbit of the functional $\lambda(z, x, t) := z$ (Remark 1.2(c)).

In view of this observation, the following characterization captures the essence of the existence of non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits for oscillator algebras $\mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$. For us, this leads to a change of perspective with respect to the initial data from the symplectic data (V, ω, D) to the euclidean data (V, κ, D), which is better adapted to the discussion of semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits.

Theorem 3.2 *A generalized oscillator algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ with $V \neq \{0\}$ contains non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits if and only if it is isomorphic to a double extension $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$ defined by a continuous skew-symmetric operator D on a euclidean locally convex space (V, κ).*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ contains non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits, then by Remark 2.4 we may assume that (C1)-(C3) from Theorem 2.8 are satisfied. Hence the embedding $\eta\colon V \to V_Q$ leads to a $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$-invariant continuous scalar product on V:

$$\kappa(x,y) := Q(\eta(x),\eta(y))$$

satisfying $\kappa(x,x) = \|i_x\omega\|_Q^2 = \|\eta(x)\|_Q^2$ (Remark 2.9).

We may consider η as an unbounded skew-symmetric operator on the real Hilbert space V_Q with domain $\mathcal{D} = V$. It satisfies

$$Q(\eta(Dx),y) = \omega(Dx,y) = Q(x,y), \quad x,y \in V,$$

so that $\eta \circ D = \mathrm{id}_V$ and

$$\kappa(Dx,Dy) = Q(x,y) \quad \text{for} \quad x,y \in V. \tag{6}$$

For $x,y \in V$ we further obtain

$$\omega_D(x,y) := \kappa(Dx,y) = Q(\eta(Dx),\eta(y)) = Q(x,\eta(y)) = Q(\eta(y),x) = \omega(y,x). \tag{7}$$

This implies that the map

$$\mathfrak{g}(V,\kappa,D) := (\mathbb{R} \oplus_{\omega_D} V) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D), \quad (z,x,t) \mapsto (-z,x,t)$$

is an isomorphism of topological Lie algebras.

If, conversely, D is a skew-symmetric operator on the euclidean locally convex space, then we have already seen above that $\mathfrak{g}(V,\kappa,D)$ has non-trivial coadjoint orbits. ■

Remark 3.3 For a generalized oscillator algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D)$, $V \neq \{0\}$ with non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits we have constructed in the proof of the preceding proposition a continuous real scalar product κ on V which, possibly after replacing D by $-D$, satisfies $\omega(x,y) = -\kappa(Dx,y)$ for $x,y \in V$. This κ has the nice property that $D(V)$ is dense in V_κ. To see this choose for a $v \in V$ a sequence $v_n \in V$ such that v_n converges to $\eta(v) \in V_Q$ with respect to the Q-norm. Since $v_n = \eta(Dv_n)$, it follows that

$$\|Dv_n - v\|_\kappa = \|\eta(Dv_n) - \eta(v)\|_Q \to 0.$$

From now on we suppose $\mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D) \cong \mathfrak{g}(V,\kappa,D)$. In particular D is injective. The following proposition characterizes non-trivial semi-equicontinuous orbits, according to our new perspective, in terms of the data (V,κ,D).

Proposition 3.4 *The coadjoint orbit of $\lambda = (z^*,\alpha,t^*)$ in $\mathfrak{g}(V,\kappa,D)$ is non-trivial and semi-equicontinuous if and only if $z^* \neq 0$ and $\alpha|_{D(V)}$ is κ-bounded. If $D(V)$ is dense in V, then the condition that $\alpha|_{D(V)}$ is κ-bounded is equivalent to the κ-boundedness of α.*

Proof. We have to reformulate the conditions (SE1-3) from Proposition 2.10 in the new context. Condition (SE1) that $z^* \neq 0$ remains the same.

For (SE2), we observe that for $\alpha \in V'$ we have $(D^*\alpha)(v) = \alpha(Dv)$, and (SE2) is equivalent to the existence of some $C > 0$ with

$$|\alpha(Dx)| \leq C\|x\|_Q = C\|Dx\|_\kappa \tag{8}$$

(cf. (6)). This in turn is equivalent to the κ-boundedness of $\alpha|_{D(V)}$, which in turn is equivalent to the existence of some $\widetilde{\alpha}$ in the κ-dual of V such that $D^*(\widetilde{\alpha} - \alpha) = 0$. This condition implies that $\widetilde{\alpha} - \alpha$ is fixed by $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$, so that we have for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the relation $\gamma(s)^*\widetilde{\alpha} - \widetilde{\alpha} = \gamma(s)^*\alpha - \alpha$, and thus

$$\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\widetilde{\alpha} - \widetilde{\alpha} = \gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\alpha - \alpha.$$

It follows in particular that $\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\alpha$ is semi-equicontinuous if and only if $\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\widetilde{\alpha}$ has this property. But $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ consists of κ-isometries, so that $\gamma(\mathbb{R})^*\widetilde{\alpha}$ is equicontinuous in the κ-dual of V, hence also equicontinuous in V'. Therefore (SE3) is a consequence of (SE2). ■

Remark 3.5 (a) In the preceding proof, the difference $\widetilde{\alpha} - \alpha \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$ is a fixed point of the coadjoint action. Therefore

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda + \widetilde{\alpha} - \alpha} = \widetilde{\alpha} - \alpha + \mathcal{O}_\lambda.$$

From the preceding argument we obtain the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{g}'_{\text{seq}} \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \times V'_\kappa \times \mathbb{R}) + [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp,$$

i.e., by a shift with a fixed point, every semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit can be moved into the subspace $\mathbb{R} \times V'_\kappa \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'$. In particular, they are contained in the dual space of any topological Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ between $\mathfrak{g}$ and its κ-completion $\mathbb{R} \oplus V_\kappa \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

(b) The proof of the preceding proposition implies in particular that condition (SE3) in Proposition 2.10 is redundant. Assuming (C1)-(C3), it follows from (SE1) and (SE2).

(c) If $D(V)$ is dense, then (8) implies that $\|\alpha\|_\kappa \leq C$. In particular, $\alpha|_{D(V)}$ is κ-bounded if and only if $\|\alpha\|_\kappa < \infty$.

In the situation of Remark 3.3 we have seen that $D(V)$ is dense in V_κ, hence in V with respect to κ. Therefore the κ-boundedness of $\alpha|_{D(V)}$ implies that it has exactly one κ-continuous extension to V. Whether this extension coincides with α or not depends on the density of $D(V)$ in V. If $D(V)$ is not dense in V, then $\alpha|_{D(V)}$ has many different continuous extensions to V, some of which are not κ-bounded (cf. Example 3.6 below). Moreover in this case it may also happen that there exists a different $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa$ on V such that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa_1, D)$ are the same (cf. Example 4.2(d) below).

Example 3.6 (a) For $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, $V = C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and

$$(\gamma(t)f)(x) = e^{itx}f(x)$$

we obtain $(Df)(x) = ixf(x)$. Clearly, $\ker D = 0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{im}(D)} = (\ker D)^\perp = \mathcal{H}$. However, $D(V) \subseteq \{f \in V \colon f(0) = 0\}$ is not dense in V and $\mathrm{ev}_0 \colon V \to \mathbb{C}$ is a γ-invariant continuous linear functional.

In this case

$$\omega(f,g) = \langle Df, g\rangle = i\int_0^1 xf(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx$$

and

$$\omega(Df,g) = \langle D^2 f, g\rangle = -\int_0^1 x^2 f(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx$$

is negative definite.

(b) On $\mathbb{R}$ we consider the measure $\mu = \delta_0 + \chi_{[0,\infty[} \cdot dx$, so that

$$L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu) = \mathbb{C} \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx).$$

On this space we consider the skew-adjoint operator

$$\overline{D}f(x) := ixf(x)$$

and the domain

$$V := C_c^\infty([0,\infty[) = \{(f(0), f) \in \mathbb{C} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \colon f \in C_c^\infty([0,\infty[)\}.$$

Then V is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$ and V is invariant under the corresponding unitary one-parameter group

$$(\gamma(t)f)(x) = e^{itx}f(x)$$

and $\overline{D}$ itself. Therefore V is a core of $\overline{D}$, i.e., $\overline{D}$ is the closure of $D := \overline{D}|_V$. Here 0 is an eigenvalue of $\overline{D}$, but not of D.

Remark 3.7 Since $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ preserves the scalar product κ, it defines an orthogonal one-parameter group $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{O}(V_\kappa)$ whose infinitesimal generator $\gamma'(0)$ extends D. Since $V \subseteq V_\kappa$ is a $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$-invariant dense subspace, [EN00, Prop. II.1.7] implies that $\gamma'(0) = \overline{D}$ is the closure of D in V_κ. As V is invariant under D, we clearly have $V \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}(\overline{D}^n)$, the space of smooth vectors for $\overline{D}$ resp., γ.

Proposition 3.8 (Real version of Stone's Theorem) *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{H})$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of isometries of $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a dense subspace invariant under $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ and consisting of C^1-vectors. Then the operator*

$$A \colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad Av := \gamma'(0)v \quad \textit{satisfies} \quad \overline{A} = \gamma'(0) = -A^\top.$$

Proof. [EN00, Prop. II.1.7] implies that $\gamma'(0) = \overline{A}$. Further, $A \subseteq -A^\top$ follows from the fact that $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ consists of isometries. From the closedness of $A^\top$ ([Ru73, Thm. 13.9]), we further derive that $\overline{A} \subseteq -A^\top = -\overline{A}^\top$.

Applying Stone's Theorem ([Ru73, Thm. 13.37]) to the complex linear extension $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(t)$ of the operators $\gamma(t)$ to the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we derive that

$\gamma'_{\mathbb{C}}(0)$ is skew-adjoint. Clearly, $\gamma'_{\mathbb{C}}(0)$ is the complex linear extension of the operator $\gamma'(0)$ on $\mathcal{H}$, which implies that $\gamma'(0) = -\gamma'(0)^\top$. In particular, the domain of $A^\top$ is not larger than $\mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) = \mathcal{D}(\gamma'(0))$, and this leads to $A^\top = \overline{A}^\top = -\overline{A}$. ■

Remark 3.9 We take a closer look at the continuous injection

$$\eta\colon V \to V_Q, \quad Q(\eta(x), y) = \omega(x, y).$$

Here we identify V with a dense subspace of the real Hilbert space V_Q and consider D, as a well as η, as unbounded operators with domain $\mathcal{D} = V$.

We have already seen in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that

$$\eta \circ D = \mathrm{id}_V, \tag{9}$$

which implies in particular that $V \subseteq \eta(V)$. As the subspace $V \subseteq V_Q$ is invariant under the strongly continuous one-parameter group $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ of isometries of V_Q, its infinitesimal generator in V_Q satisfies

$$\gamma'(0) = \overline{D} = -D^\top$$

(Proposition 3.8). The operator $D\colon V \to V_Q$ is injective, so that we may define its inverse by

$$\mathcal{D}(D^{-1})\colon D(V) \to V, \quad Dv \mapsto v.$$

The relation (9) now implies that η is an extension of D^{-1}, which is defined on all of V.

As γ defines a smooth $\mathbb{R}$-action on V and η is continuous, $\eta(V)$ consists of smooth vectors for γ. For $v, w \in V$, the relation

$$Q(\eta v, Dw) = \omega(v, Dw) = -\omega(Dv, w) = -Q(\eta Dv, w) = -Q(v, w)$$

implies that $\overline{D}\eta(v) = -D^\top\eta(v) = v$, i.e.,

$$\overline{D} \circ \eta = \mathrm{id}_V\,. \tag{10}$$

This shows in particular that $V \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\overline{D})$, and since $D(V)$ is dense in $\mathrm{im}(\overline{D})$, it follows that $D(V)$ is dense in V_Q. From that we further derive

$$\ker(\overline{D}) = \ker(D^\top) = \mathrm{im}(D)^\perp = \{0\},$$

i.e., that $\overline{D}$ is injective. For $v \in V$ we derive from $\overline{D}\overline{D}^{-1}v = v = \overline{D}\eta(v)$ that

$$\overline{D}^{-1}|_V = \eta. \tag{11}$$

On the dense subspace $V^1 := \mathrm{im}(\overline{D})$ of V_Q we now define

$$\omega_1(v, w) := Q(\overline{D}^{-1}v, w),$$

and note that, by (7) and (11), ω_1 extends ω. Further

$$\omega_1(\overline{D}w, \overline{D}v) = Q(w, \overline{D}v) = -Q(v, \overline{D}w) = -\omega_1(\overline{D}v, \overline{D}w)$$

implies that ω_1 is skew-symmetric. It is continuous with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|v\|_*^2 = \|v\|_Q^2 + \|\overline{D}^{-1}v\|_Q^2.$$

Note that

$$\|\overline{D}^{-1}v\|_Q^2 = \|\eta(v)\|_Q^2 = \kappa(v,v) \quad \text{for} \quad v \in V.$$

As $\eta\colon V \to V_Q$ is continuous, we further observe that $\eta(V) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D})$ and the map $V \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D})$ is continuous with respect to the natural Fréchet topology on the right hand side.

In the preceding remark we have used the scalar product Q on V to obtain a Hilbert space environment for V. Alternatively, one may consider the scalar product $\kappa(v,w) = Q(\eta(v), \eta(w))$.

Lemma 3.10 *The map*

$$\eta\colon (V, \kappa) \to (\eta(V), Q)$$

is isometric and extends to an isomorphism $\widehat{\eta}\colon V_\kappa \to V_Q$ of real Hilbert spaces. It intertwines the unbounded operator $D\colon V \to V_\kappa$ with the operator

$$\overline{D}|_{\eta(V)} = \eta^{-1}\colon \eta(V) \to V \subseteq V_Q.$$

The closure of D on V_κ is injective with dense range.

Proof. The relation $\eta \circ D = \mathrm{id}_V$ leads to

$$\eta \circ D \circ \eta^{-1} = \eta^{-1}|_{\eta(V)} = \overline{D}|_{\eta(V)}.$$

Since V is a core for D in V_κ and $\eta(V) \supseteq V$ is a core for D in V_Q, the map $\widehat{\eta}$ intertwines the closure $\overline{D}^{\kappa_0}$ with the closure $\overline{D} = \overline{D}^{Q}$. From the corresponding properties of $\overline{D}$ (Remark 3.9), it now follows that $\overline{D}^{\kappa_0}$ is injective and has dense range. ■

4 Complex structures

It is well known that symplectic complex structures satisfying a positivity condition are the natural key to unitary representations of the Heisenberg group $\mathrm{Heis}(V,\omega)$ (cf. [FS00, p. 455]). Therefore we study in this section how suitable complex structures on V, resp., a slightly enlarged space, can be obtained from the available structure defined by the skew-symmetric operator D on (V,κ).

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group with selfadjoint generator $A = -i\gamma'(0)$. Equip $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ with the C^∞-topology for which the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A) \to \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}_0}, v \mapsto (A^n v)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a topological embedding. Then the following assertions hold:*

(a) $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ *is a Fréchet space and the action* $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{D}^\infty(A) \to \mathcal{D}^\infty(A), (t,v) \mapsto \gamma(t)v$ *is smooth.*

(b) *Let* $V \subset \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ *be a real subspace invariant under* γ *and dense in* $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ *w.r.t. the Hilbert norm. Then* V *is also dense in* $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ *w.r.t. the* C^∞*-topology.*

Proof. For (a) see [Ne10b, Rem. 4.7(a) and Cor. 4.5].

(b) Let $v \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ and choose a sequence (v_n) in V converging to v in $\mathcal{H}$. For $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ the bounded operators

$$\gamma(f)w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\gamma(t)w\,dt, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(A),$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} A\gamma(f)w &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)A\gamma(t)w\,dt = -i\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\gamma'(t)w\,dt \\ &= i\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t)\gamma(t)w\,dt = i\gamma(f')w, \end{aligned}$$

and by iteration we obtain $A^k\gamma(f) = i^k\gamma(f^{(k)})$. We thus obtain

$$A^k\gamma(f)v_n = i^k\gamma(f^{(k)})v_n \to i^k\gamma(f^{(k)})v = A^k\gamma(f)v.$$

This shows that $\gamma(f)v$ is contained in the closure $\overline{V}$ of V in $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ in the C^∞-topology. Here we have used that the Fréchet space $\overline{V}$ is invariant under $\gamma(f)$. In view of (a), every neighborhood of v in $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ contains an element of the form $\gamma(f)v$, so that $v \in \overline{V}$. ■

Example 4.2 (a) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $A = A^*$ be a self-adjoint operator with the domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, for which the dense subspace V is invariant under the action of the corresponding unitary one-parameter group $\gamma(t) := e^{itA}$ and also under A. Then V is a core for A consisting of smooth vectors and dense in $\mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ (cf. [EN00, Prop. II.1.7]).

On V we have the real scalar product $\kappa(v,w) := \mathrm{Re}\langle v,w\rangle$, and $D := iA|_V$ is a skew-symmetric operator, defining the alternating form

$$\omega_D(x,y) := \kappa(Dx,y) = \mathrm{Re}\langle iAx,y\rangle = -\,\mathrm{Im}\langle Ax,y\rangle.$$

We further have

$$\omega_D(Dx,y) = -\,\mathrm{Im}\langle A(iA)x,y\rangle = -\kappa(A^2x,y) = -\kappa(Ax,Ay),$$

so that $Q(x,y) := -\omega_D(Dx,y)$ is positive definite if and only if $\ker(A) \cap V = \{0\}$.

(b) A natural topology on V is the C^∞-topology. This topology turns $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{heis}(V,\omega_D) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$ into a locally convex Lie algebra that integrates to a Lie group $G_A = \mathrm{Heis}(V,\omega_D) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{R}$ which in the case $V = \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ is a Fréchet–Lie group (cf. Lemma 4.1(a)).

(c) A typical class of examples arises for $V = \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$, the space of all smooth vectors of A. In this case the subspace AV of V is dense with respect to the Fréchet topology if and only if the space $\mathcal{D}^{-\infty}(A) := \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)'$ of distribution vectors contains no γ-invariant element. This is not always the case, even if $\ker A$ is trivial.

(d) Let us recall Example 3.6(b). Here we clearly are in the situation of Example 4.2(a) and hence obtain a double extension $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa_1, D)$, where $\kappa_1(v, w) := \mathrm{Re}\langle v, w\rangle$ and V is equipped with the C^∞-topology. Furthermore D is injective on V but $D(V)$ is not dense in V_{κ_1}. On the other hand, by Remark 3.3, there exists a continuous real scalar product κ on V such that $\kappa(Dx, y) = \kappa_1(Dx, y)$ holds for $x, y \in V$ and $D(V)$ is dense in V_κ. In particular, the real scalar products κ and κ_1 are different but the oscillator algebras $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa_1, D)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V, \kappa, D)$ coincide.

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose that $A\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{H}$ is an essentially selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\ker(\overline{A}) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to the density of $\mathrm{im}(A) = A(\mathcal{D})$ in $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. This follows from the relation $\ker(\overline{A}) = \mathrm{im}(\overline{A})^\perp = \mathrm{im}(A)^\perp$ ([Ru73]), which in turn follows from the density of $\mathrm{im}(A)$ in $\mathrm{im}(\overline{A})$. ■

In general $\ker A = \{0\}$ does not imply that $\ker \overline{A} = \{0\}$ (cf. Example 3.6(b)).

Remark 4.4 Now let (V, κ) be a real pre-Hilbert space and $D : V \to V$ be a skew-symmetric operator which integrates to a continuous orthogonal one-parameter group γ on V. We assume that $D(V)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H} := V_\kappa$ (cf. Remark 3.3). Then $V_\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ is invariant under the unitary one-parameter group γ on $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$, hence a core for D. Now the operator $A := -iD$, defined on $V_\mathbb{C}$, is essentially self-adjoint and the canonical antilinear involution σ of $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ with $(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C})^\sigma = \mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$\sigma \circ \overline{A} = -\overline{A} \circ \sigma,$$

so that $\sigma P(E)\sigma = P(-E)$ holds for the spectral measure P of $\overline{A}$. The assumption that $D(V)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ implies that $P(\{0\}) = 0$ (Lemma 4.3), so that $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ decomposes into two closed subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{H}_\pm = P(\pm]0, \infty[)\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}.$$

In view of $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_\pm) = \mathcal{H}_\mp$, we obtain an isometric real linear isomorphism

$$p\colon \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{H}, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + \sigma(v)).$$

This leads to the structure of a complex Hilbert space on $\mathcal{H}$, where the complex structure is given by

$$Ip(v) := p(iv) \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_+.$$

The scalar product on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle x, y\rangle = \kappa(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}$$

and γ becomes a unitary one-parameter group on $\mathcal{H}$. We define the positive symmetric operator $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by $A_{\mathbb{R}} p(v) := p(Av)$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}(A)$ and note that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} := \{v \colon p^{-1}(v) \in \mathcal{D}(A)\} = p(\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}(A)).$$

For $p(v) \in V$, we then have

$$Dp(v) = p(Dv) = p(iAv) = Ip(Av) = IA_{\mathbb{R}} p(v),$$

so that

$$D = IA_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\mathbb{R}} = -ID \geq 0$$

hold on V.

Remark 4.5 In general the subspace $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not need to be adapted to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, but if $V = \mathcal{D}^\infty(D)$, then $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{D}^\infty(A)$ is invariant under the spectral projections $P(\pm]0, \infty[)$.

Definition 4.6 Let (V, ω) be a symplectic vector space. A complex structure I on V is called *ω-positive* if $\omega(Iv, w)$ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form and I is called *ω-negative* if $-I$ is ω-positive.

We summarize the preceding discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7 *Let (V, κ) be a real pre-Hilbert space and $D : V \to V$ be a skew-symmetric operator which integrates to a continuous orthogonal one-parameter group γ on V. Assume that $D(V)$ is dense in V_κ. Then there exists a κ-orthogonal ω_D-negative complex structure I on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D}) \subset V_\kappa$ commuting with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We recall the complex Hilbert structure on V_κ from Remark 4.4. Obviously $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D}) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a complex subspace. The corresponding complex structure I on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D})$ is ω_D-negative because $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive and

$$\omega_D(Iv, w) = -\kappa(A_{\mathbb{R}} v, w) \quad \text{for} \quad v, w \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(\overline{D}).$$

Since γ acts on V_κ by unitaries, I commutes with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$. That I is κ-isometric follows from the construction in Remark 4.4, but it also follows from the fact that I is ω_D-negative and $D(V) \subset V_\kappa$ is dense. ■

Remark 4.8 Let $G(V, \omega, \gamma)$ be a generalized oscillator group for which $Q(x, y) = \omega(Dx, y)$ is positive definite. Then there exists at most one ω-positive complex structure I on V such that I commutes with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ and $D(V)$ is a dense subset of V in the norm $\|x\|_I = \sqrt{\omega(Ix, x)}$. For a proof see [BSZ92, Scholium 3.3]. In particular, in Proposition 4.7 the ω_D-negative complex structure commuting with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is unique.

Example 4.9 A simple instructive example is the following. We consider $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the canonical scalar product κ and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d > 0, \quad A = -iD = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & id \\ -id & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\omega_D(x, y) = \langle Dx, y\rangle = d(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$ and

$$A(e_1 \mp ie_2) = \pm d(e_1 \mp ie_2),$$

so that $\mathcal{H}_\pm = \mathbb{C}(e_1 \mp ie_2)$. Hence the ω_D-negative complex structure on V which commutes with D is given by $Ie_1 = e_2$.

Example 4.10 Consider the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V, \omega, D)$ is a direct limit oscillator algebra, i.e., let $V_n \subset V$ be subspaces with

$$V = \bigcup_n V_n, \quad V_n \subset V_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim V_n < \infty$$

such that $\omega|_{V_n \times V_n}$ is non-degenerate and $D(V_n) \subset V_n$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We equip $\mathfrak{g}$ with the direct limit topology. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $D_n := D|_{V_n}$.

First we consider the conditions (C1-3) of Theorem 2.8. Suppose (C1) holds, i.e., $Q(x, y) = \omega(Dx, y)$ is positive definite. Then D is injective and D_n^{-1} exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence also $D^{-1} : V \to V$ exists. Now $\omega(x, y) = Q(D^{-1}x, y)$ implies that $i_x\omega$ is Q-continuous, i.e., (C2) holds. Moreover $Q(\eta(x), y) = Q(D^{-1}x, y)$ for $x, y \in V$ implies $\eta = D^{-1}$, in particular η takes values in V. Since the linear operator D^{-1} is continuous with respect to the direct limit topology on V, condition (C3) also holds. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}$ has a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit if and only if, after possibly replacing D by $-D$, Q is positive definite.

Suppose that Q is positive definite. The operator $-D^2$ is positive for the real scalar product $\kappa(x, y) = \omega(x, D^{-1}y)$ on V and hence we obtain a complex structure $I_n := \frac{D_n}{\sqrt{-D_n^2}}$ on each finite dimensional V_n. By construction the I_n fit together to a complex structure I on V satisfying $I|_{V_n} = I_n$. With the scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle := \kappa(x, y) - i\kappa(Ix, y)$ we turn V into a complex pre-Hilbert space. Applying the Spectral Theorem to the positive operators $A_n := -I_nD_n$ on the complex subspaces V_n yields an orthonormal Hamel basis $\widetilde{e}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ of V with $D\widetilde{e}_i = a_iI\widetilde{e}_i, a_i > 0$. By setting $e_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}}\widetilde{e}_i$, $f_i := -Ie_i$ we obtain a symplectic basis (e_i, f_i) of V with

$$De_i = -a_if_i, \quad Df_i = a_ie_i, \quad a_i > 0. \tag{12}$$

The Hamiltonian takes the form $H_D(v) = \frac{1}{2}\omega(Dv, v) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i a_i(p_i^2 + q_i^2)$ for $v = \sum_i p_ie_i + q_if_i$. Conversely any symplectic vector space with symplectic basis $(e_i, f_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$, equipped with the direct limit topology and D defined by (12) defines an oscillator algebra with semi-equicontinuous orbits.

5 Unitary representations

The purpose of this section is to show that the Fock–Schrödinger representation is semibounded for the oscillator group $G = G(V, \omega, \gamma)$ with semi-equicontinuous orbits. This brings us full circle because it implies that the existence of non-trivial semibounded unitary representations is equivalent to the existence of non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbits.

5.1 The Fock–Schrödinger representation

Let V be a complex vector space and $\beta \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a positive semidefinite hermitian form on V. We define the associated *Heisenberg group* as $\mathrm{Heis}(V, \beta) := \mathbb{R} \times V$ with the product

$$(t, v)(s, w) = \big(t + s - \operatorname{Im} \beta(v, w), v + w\big).$$

For the alternating form $\omega := -2 \operatorname{Im} \beta$, this means that

$$(t, v)(s, w) = \big(t + s + \frac{1}{2}\omega(v, w), v + w\big).$$

The hermitian form β can be reconstructed from ω and the complex structure I by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(v, w) &= \operatorname{Re} \beta(v, w) + i \operatorname{Im} \beta(v, w) = \operatorname{Im} \beta(Iv, w) - \frac{i}{2}\omega(v, w) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega(Iv, w) - \frac{i}{2}\omega(v, w) = -\frac{1}{2}\big(\omega(Iv, w) + i\omega(v, w)\big).\end{aligned}$$

This formula shows in particular that, conversely, any complex structure $I \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$ for which $\omega(Iv, v)$ is negative semidefinite leads to a positive semidefinite hermitian form β on V.

The Heisenberg group $\mathrm{Heis}(V, \beta)$ acts on V by $(v, t).w := v + w$.

Proposition 5.1 ([Ne00, Prop. II.4.6]) *The operators on $\mathbb{C}^V$ defined by*

$$\big(\pi(t, v)f\big)(z) = e^{it - \frac{1}{2}\beta(v,v) + \beta(z,v)} f(z - v) \quad \text{for} \quad g = (t, v) \in \mathrm{Heis}(V, \beta)$$

define a representation of $\mathrm{Heis}(V, \beta)$ *on $\mathbb{C}^V$ satisfying the Weyl relations*

$$\pi(0, v)\pi(0, w) = e^{-i \operatorname{Im} \beta(v,w)} \pi(0, v + w) = \pi\big(-\operatorname{Im} \beta(v, w), v + w\big).$$

Further, $K(z, w) := e^{\beta(z,w)}$ is a positive definite kernel on V, and the action of $\mathrm{Heis}(V, \beta)$ *leaves the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_K$ invariant and defines an irreducible unitary representation on this space.*

Remark 5.2 The constant function $1 = K_0 \in \mathcal{H}_K$ is a unit vector and

$$\varphi(t, v) := \langle \pi(t, v)1, 1\rangle = (\pi(t, v)1)(0) = e^{it - \frac{1}{2}\beta(v,v)} = e^{it + \frac{1}{4}\omega(Iv,v)}.$$

This formula expresses quite naturally how each ω-negative complex structure I leads to a positive definite function on $\mathrm{Heis}(V, \omega)$.

Remark 5.3 Suppose that β is positive definite and let V_β be the Hilbert space obtained by completion of (V,β). We consider the kernel $\widehat{K}(v,w) := e^{\beta(v,w)}$ on V_β. Then $K = \widehat{K}|_{V\times V}$. Since the kernel K on V is jointly continuous with respect to the β-norm and V is dense in V_β, we have an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{K}} \cong \mathcal{H}_K, f \mapsto f|_V.$$

In particular we obtain for the corresponding Fock-Schrödinger representations

$$\pi : \mathrm{Heis}(V,\beta) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_K), \qquad \widehat{\pi} : \mathrm{Heis}(V_\beta,\beta) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{K}})$$

that $\pi \cong \widehat{\pi}|_{\mathrm{Heis}(V,\beta)}$ via φ.

Proposition 5.4 *Let γ be a strongly continuous unitary one-parameter group on the complex pre-Hilbert space (V,β). Then the Fock-Schrödinger representation $\pi : \mathrm{Heis}(V,\beta) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ extends to a continuous unitary representation of the topological group $\mathrm{Heis}(V,\beta) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$\pi_\gamma : \mathrm{Heis}(V,\beta) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_K), \quad \pi_\gamma(t,v,s)f = \pi(t,v)(f\circ\gamma(s)^{-1}).$$

If $A := i\gamma'(0) \geq 0$ then $-i\mathtt{d}\pi_\gamma(0,0,1) \geq 0$, where $\mathtt{d}\pi_\gamma(0,0,1)$ denotes the skew-adjoint generator of $s \mapsto \pi_\gamma(0,0,s)$.

Proof. Although this is more or less well-known, for the sake of completeness, we provide a proof. As the kernel K is invariant under γ, the operators $\pi_\gamma(0,0,s)$ are unitary and it is readily verified that π_γ defines a representation. Now suppose that $A \geq 0$. Let V_β denote the completion of (V,β). Note that $i\gamma'(0) \geq 0$ on V implies $i\gamma'(0) \geq 0$ on V_β since V is $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$-invariant.

In order to prove the second assertion, in view of Remark 5.3, we may assume that $V = V_\beta$. We consider the complex semigroup $\mathbb{C}^+ = \mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with involution $z^* := -\bar{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Then $\widehat{\gamma}(z) := e^{iAz^*}, z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ defines an extension of γ to a continuous involutive semigroup representation $\widehat{\gamma} : \mathbb{C}^+ \to B(V)$ by contractions which is anti-holomorphic on $\mathrm{int}(\mathbb{C}^+)$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ we define $\alpha(z)f = f \circ \widehat{\gamma}(z^*)$. Then $\alpha(t) = \pi_\gamma(0,0,t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\alpha(z)K_w = K_{\widehat{\gamma}(z)w}$ holds, the linear operators $\alpha(z)$ leave the dense subset $\mathcal{H}_K^0 := \mathrm{span}\{K_v : v \in V\}$ invariant and define an involutive semigroup representation $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H}_K^0)$. By [Ne00, Thm. II.4.4] we obtain

$$\|(\alpha(z)|_{\mathcal{H}_K^0}\|^2 = \sup_{v\in V} e^{\beta(\widehat{\gamma}(z^*)v,\widehat{\gamma}(z^*)v)-\beta(v,v)} \leq 1.$$

With [Ne00, Prop. II.4.9] we conclude that $\alpha(z)$ is a contraction with $\alpha(z)(\mathcal{H}_K) \subset \mathcal{H}_K$. This leads to a continuous involutive semigroup representation $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H}_K)$ by contractions. Since $z \mapsto \langle \alpha(z)K_v, K_w\rangle = e^{\beta(w,\widehat{\gamma}(z)v)}$ is holomorphic on $\mathrm{int}(\mathbb{C}^+)$ for all $v,w \in V$, the representation α is also holomorphic on $\mathrm{int}(\mathbb{C}^+)$ by [Ne00, Lem. IV.2.2]. We conclude with [HN93, Thm. 9.13(ii)] that $-i\mathtt{d}\pi_\gamma(0,0,1)$ is non-negative. ■

5.2 Application to generalized oscillator groups

Let $G := G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ be a generalized oscillator group.

Definition 5.5 We call a generalized oscillator group $G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ *standard* if there exists a unitary representation $(\rho, \widehat{V})$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that

(a) $V \cong \widehat{V}^\infty$ is the space of smooth vectors for $(\rho,\widehat{V})$, endowed with its natural Fréchet topology.

(b) $\gamma(t) = \rho(t)|_V$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(c) $\omega(v,w) = -2\operatorname{Re}\langle \rho'(0)v, w\rangle$.

(d) $i\rho'(0) \geq 0$.

Remark 5.6 (a) Note that for every standard generalized oscillator group $G = G(V,\omega,\gamma)$, the operator $D = \gamma'(0)$ on V is essentially skew-adjoint and satisfies $\rho'(0) = \overline{D}$.

(b) For the non-negative selfadjoint operator $A := i\rho'(0)$ on $\widehat{V}$ we have

$$\omega(v,w) = 2\operatorname{Re}\langle iAv, w\rangle = -2\operatorname{Im}\langle Av, w\rangle.$$

(c) Since ω is non-degenerate on V the operator $A|_{\widehat{V}^\infty}$ is injective. As $\widehat{V}^\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}(A^n)$ contains every vector of $\ker A \subset \widehat{V}$ we obtain $\ker A = \{0\}$. Thus the image $D(V)$ is a dense subspace of V in the Hilbert norm (c.f. Lemma 4.3) and hence by Lemma 4.1(b) also with respect to the C^∞-topology.

Lemma 5.7 *Let $G = G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ be a generalized oscillator group with a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit and suppose that $D = \gamma'(0)$ has dense range. Let $\pi : G(V,\omega,\gamma) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a smooth representation with $\pi(t,0,0) = e^{it}$ and $-i\mathtt{d}\pi(0,0,1)$ bounded from below. Then π is semibounded.*

Proof. By Remark 3.3 we may assume that there exists a continuous real scalar product κ on V such that $\omega(x,y) = -\kappa(Dx,y)$. Consider the support functional

$$s_\pi(a) = \sup_{v\in\mathcal{H}^\infty, \|v\|=1} \langle i\mathtt{d}\pi(a)v, v\rangle \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D).$$

By assumption we have $s_\pi(0,0,1) \leq c < \infty$. Note that

$$\operatorname{Ad}(0,x',0)(0,0,1) = \Big(\frac{1}{2}\kappa(Dx',Dx'), -Dx', 1\Big).$$

Next we note that s_π is Ad-invariant and a supremum of continuous linear functions. From the density of $D(V)$ in V, we thus obtain $s_\pi\big(\frac{1}{2}\kappa(x,x), x, 1\big) \leq c$ for all $x \in V$. We conclude $s_\pi(t,x,1) \leq c - t + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(x,x)$ because of $\pi(t,0,0) = e^{it}$. It follows that s_π is bounded on a non-empty open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., π is semibounded. ■

Proposition 5.8 *Let $G = G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ be a standard generalized oscillator group, $A = i\gamma'(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_K \subseteq \mathbb{C}^V$ be the Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K(z,w) = e^{\langle Az,w\rangle}$. Then we obtain by*

$$(\pi(t,v,s)f)(z) = e^{it-\frac{1}{2}\langle Av,v\rangle+\langle Az,v\rangle} f(\gamma(s)^{-1}(z-v))$$

a semibounded unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_K$.

Proof. Since G is standard, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to a double extension and therefore contains a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit. The representation π is smooth since the function $\langle \pi(t,v,s)1, 1\rangle = e^{it-\frac{1}{2}\langle Av,v\rangle}$ is smooth and 1 is a cyclic vector ([Ne10b, Cor. 7.3]). With Proposition 5.4 and Remark 5.6(c) we obtain that the assumptions of Lemma 5.7 are satisfied. Hence π is semibounded. ■

Theorem 5.9 *For a generalized oscillator group $G = G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ with $D := \gamma'(0)$ and $V \neq \{0\}$ the following are equivalent:*

(a) *$G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ has a semibounded unitary representation $(\pi,\mathcal{H})$ with $\pi(t,0,0) = e^{it}\mathbf{1}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(b) *$G(V,\omega,\gamma)$ has a semibounded unitary representation $(\pi,\mathcal{H})$ with $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \not\subseteq \ker \mathsf{d}\pi$.*

(c) *$\mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D)$ has a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous orbit.*

(d) *There exists a standard generalized oscillator group $\widehat{G} := G(\widehat{V},\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\gamma})$ and a dense embedding $\iota\colon V \to \widehat{V}$, such that*

$$\iota_G\colon G(V,\omega,\gamma) \to G(\widehat{V},\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\gamma}), \quad (z,v,t) \mapsto (z,\iota(v),t),$$

possibly after replacing γ by γ^{-1}, is a morphism of Lie groups.

Proof. (a)⇒(b): follows from $(1,0,0) \in [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$.

(b)⇒(c): Our assumption implies that the momentum set I_π of π is not contained in $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. Since every coadjoint orbit in I_π is semi-equicontinuous, (c) follows.

(c)⇒(d) If $\mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D)$ has a non-trivial semi-equicontinuous coadjoint orbit, then Theorem 3.2 applies, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}(V,\omega,D)$ is isomorphic to a double extension $\mathfrak{g}(V,\kappa,D)$, where $\omega(x,y) = -\kappa(Dx,y)$ (Remark 3.3). Let $\widehat{V} \subseteq V_\kappa$ denote the space of smooth vectors for the isometric one-parameter group $\widehat{\gamma}$, obtained by extending the operators $\gamma(t)$ to isometries on V_κ. Note that $V \subset \widehat{V}$ since γ acts smoothly on V. We endow $\widehat{V}$ with its natural Fréchet topology defined by the seminorms $p_n(v) := \|D^n v\|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\widehat{\omega}(v,w) := -\kappa(Dv,w)$$

is a continuous alternating form on $\widehat{V}$ extending ω, and since DV is dense in V_κ (Lemma 3.10), $\widehat{\omega}$ is non-degenerate. The action of $\widehat{\gamma}$ on $\widehat{V}$ is smooth (c.f.

[Ne10b, Thm. 4.4]) and thus we obtain a generalized oscillator group $G(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\gamma})$. Applying Proposition 4.7 (with $-D$ instead of D) yields on $\widehat{V}$ an $\widehat{\omega}$-negative complex structure I commuting with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$. Since I is κ-isometric it may be extended to V_κ and by setting

$$\langle v, w\rangle := \frac{1}{2}(\kappa(v,w) - i\kappa(Iv,w)) \quad \text{for} \quad v, w \in V_\kappa,$$

we turn V_κ into a complex Hilbert space. Then $\widehat{\gamma}$ becomes a unitary one-parameter group on V_κ. Furthermore

$$\widehat{\omega}(v,w) = -2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle Dv, w\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad v, w \in \widehat{V},$$

and

$$0 \geq \widehat{\omega}(Iv, v) = -\kappa(DIv, v) \quad \text{for} \quad v \in \widehat{V}$$

implies that $ID = DI \geq 0$. Thus $G(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\gamma})$ is standard. The inclusion $\iota : V \subset \widehat{V}$ is dense by Lemma 4.1(b) and by construction ι_G is a Lie group morphism.

(d)⇒(a) Since we always have the isomorphism

$$G(V, \omega, \gamma) \cong G(V, \omega, \gamma^{-1}), (z, t, s) \to (z, t, -s),$$

we may assume that ι_G is a morphism of Lie groups. The Fock representation π_F of $G(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\gamma})$ is semibounded by Proposition 5.8, so that we obtain the semibounded representation $\iota_G^*\pi_F$ of $G(V, \omega, \gamma)$. ■

References

[BSZ92] Baez, J. C., I. E. Segal and Z. Zhou, *Introduction to Algebraic and Constructive Quantum Field Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1992

[Bo96] Borchers, H. -J., "Translation group and particle representations in quantum field theory," Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, 1996

[EN00] Engel, K.-J., and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Grad. Texts in Math. **194**, Springer, 2000

[FH05] Fewster, Chr., and S. Hollands, *Quantum energy inequalities in two-dimensional conformal field theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **17:5** (2005), 577–612

[FS00] Florig, M., and S. J. Summers, *Further representations of the canonical commutation relations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), 451–490

[GJ] Glimm, J., and Jaffe, J., *A $\lambda\varphi^4$ quantum field theory without cutoffs*, I, Phys. Rev., **176** (1968), 1954–1951, II, Ann. Math., **91** (1970), 362–401, III, Acta. Math., **125** (1970), 203-267, IV, J. Math. Phys., **13** (1972), 1568–1584

[HN93] Hilgert, J., and Neeb, K. – H., "Lie semigroups and their applications," Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1552, 1993

[Ne00] Neeb, K. – H., "Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory," Expositions in Mathematics **28**, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 2000

[Ne06] —, *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*, Jap. J. Math. 3rd ser. **1:2** (2006), 291–468

[Ne09] —, *Semibounded unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups*, in "Infinite Dimensional Harmonic Analysis IV", Eds. J. Hilgert et al, World Scientific, 2009; 209–222

[Ne10a] —, *Semibounded representations and invariant cones in infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Confluentes Math. **2:1** (2010), 37–134

[Ne10b] —, *On Differentiable Vectors for Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2814–2855

[Ru73] Rudin, W., "Functional Analysis," McGraw Hill, 1973

[SeG81] Segal, G., *Unitary representations of some infinite-dimensional groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 301–342

[Se67] Segal, I. E., *Positive-energy particle models with mass splitting*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **57** (1967), 194–197

[Ze11] Zellner, Ch., *Semibounded representations of oscillator groups*, PhD Thesis, Friedrich–Alexander University Erlangen–Nuremberg, in preparation